## ISSN 0972- 5210



# IMPACT OF BIOFERTLIZERS AND ORGANIC MANURE WITH PLANT GROWTH RETARDANT ON FLOWER QUALITY AND ECONOMICS OF AFRICAN MARIGOLD (*TAGETES ERECTA* L.) CV. PUSANARANGI GAINDA

## Yatendra Kumar\*, Vishwanath and R. A. Awasthi

Department of Horticulture, Kulbhaskar Ashram P.G. College, Prayagraj (UP), India

## Abstract

The present investigation was conducted at Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Kulbhaskar Ashram Post Graduate College, Prayagraj, (U.P.) during the year 2016-2017 and 2017-18. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications consisted of 36 treatments with control. The four levels of Azotobacter, three levels of PSB and three levels of Vermicompost with fixed dose of cycocel (2- chloroethyle triemethyle ammonium chloride) along with control was taken. The results revealed that application of the treatment  $T_{22}$ (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha, PSB- 500 ml/ha and Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha) was found most effective as it gave highest flower size (8.72 cm), maximum oil percentage of flower (0.44%) and highest C.B. ratio (1:4.10). Whereas application of  $T_{26}$  (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha, PSB- 1000 ml/ha and Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha) produced maximum number of disk florets (68.20), ratio of disk and ray florets (1:4.04) and vase life of cut flower (8.80 days). Treatment  $T_{25}$  showed maximum length of flower stalk (8.68 cm) and number of ray florets (192.20) were recorded under  $T_{34}$  (Azotobacter- 750 ml/ha, PSB- 1000 ml/ha and Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha).

Keywords: Biofertilizers, Azotobacter, African marigold (Tagetes erecta L.), PGR, Vermicompost, Cycocel.

## Introduction

Marigold is one of the most important commercial flower crops grown all over the world and in India as well; accounting for more than half of Nation's loose flower production. It is native of central and South America especially Mexico and belongs to the family Asteraceae (Compositae). There are 33 species of genus Tagetes in which few are important viz., Tagetes erecta, T. patula, T. tenuifolia, T. luicida (sweet scented marigold), T. sarmetosa (climbing marigold), T. lacera, T. lemmmoni, T. minuta, T. filifolia (Irish lace). There are two species, which are commercially grown viz. Tagetes erecta L. (African marigold) and Tagetes patula L. (French marigold). This flower is extensively used for decoration in various religious and social functions, beautification of garden and for other commercial purposes likes extraction of perfume. In Mexico and Latin America, marigold flowers are used to decorate household alters to celebrate all saint and souls day (De and Bhattacharjee, 2011). Marigold is known as different name in different region e.g. friendship flower in United state, student enablement (student flower) in Germany, dead flower in Latin America and shayapatri in Nepal.

Its popularity, wide availability and multifarious uses, in no way is comparable with other flowers. It has a short duration to produce marketable flowers with wide spectrum of attractive colours, shape, size and good keeping quality, hence, attracted the attention of flower growers. It is use as cut-flower for vase decoration and other arrangement besides loose flower for making garlands, decoration of buildings, gates, pandals during social functions, marriage ceremonies, worshipping and for floral rangoli. As garden plants for bedding and pot culture, herbaceous border, hanging baskets and window boxes, marigold is commonly grown in every home garden, parks and garden throughout the country. The uses of marigold are many fold, often referred to as, "Versatile crop with golden harvest". Marigolds produce thiopenes, which are toxic to nematodes and used as trap crop in tomato, brinjal, tobacco etc (Raghava, 2000). It is not only cultivated as ornamental cut flower and landscape plant but also a source of carotenoid pigment for poultry feed to intensify yellow colour of egg yolks and broiler skin. Apart from poultry industry, marigold dye is also used in textile, pharmaceutical industries, food supplements, cosmetics etc as they offer several advantages over synthetic dyes from natural point of view, safety and eco-friendly in nature (Naik et al., 2004).

Due to raising cost of chemical fertilizers and problems of environmental pollution it has become imperative to arrive at an integrated nutrient management practices for marigold to achieve quality flowers at economical use of plant nutrients. Hence, an attempt is made to reduce the amount of nitrogenous and phosphatic fertilizers by substituting with biofertilizer and organic manure. This crop is a heavy feeder of nutrients, at present the nutrients are supplied through

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author Email: yatendrakumarjarpura@gmail.com

chemical fertilizers. Indiscriminate and continuous use of chemical fertilizer in intensive cropping system has led to an imbalance of nutrients in soil which has an adverse effect on soil health and also affecting flower quality. Commercial exploitation of the flowers for xanthophylls extraction has made this flower crop much more popular among the flower growers and industries.

#### **Materials and Methods**

An investigation was carried out during winter season of the year 2016-2017 and 2017-18 at Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Kulbhaskar Ashram P.G. College, Prayagraj (U.P.). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications and 36 treatments with control. Under treatments as biofertilizers four levels of Azotobacter (0,250,500 and750 ml/ha) and three levels of PSB (0, 500 and 1000 ml/ha) was given as seedling treatment and three levels of vermicompost (0, 2.5, 5.0 t/ha) will also be supplemented as organic manures during field preparation in selected plots. Cycocel @ 400 ppm was given as foliar feeding at 30 day after transplanting of seedling in each treatment except control as plant growth retardants.

The treatments detail is as follows,  $T_0$  (control),  $T_1$ (Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha), T<sub>2</sub> (Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha), T<sub>3</sub> (PSB- 500 ml/ha),  $T_4$ (PSB- 500 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha), T<sub>5</sub> (PSB- 500 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha), T<sub>6</sub> (PSB- 1000 ml/ha),  $T_{\tau}$  (PSB- 1000 ml/ha + Vermicompost-2.50 t/ha),  $T_s$  (PSB-1000 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha),  $T_s$ (Azotobacter- 250 ml/ha), T<sub>10</sub> (Azotobacter- 250 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha), T<sub>11</sub> (Azotobacter- 250 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha), T<sub>12</sub> (Azotobacter- 250 ml/ha + PSB- 500 ml/ha), T<sub>13</sub> (Azotobacter- 250 ml/ha + PSB- 500 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha), T<sub>14</sub> (Azotobacter- 250 ml/ha + PSB- 500 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha), T<sub>15</sub> (Azotobacter- 250 ml/ha + PSB- 1000 ml/ha), T<sub>16</sub> (Azotobacter- 250 ml/ha + PSB- 1000 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha ), T<sub>17</sub> (Azotobacter- 250 ml/ha + PSB- 1000 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha),  $T_{18}$ (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha), T<sub>19</sub> (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha), T<sub>20</sub> (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha), T<sub>21</sub> (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha + PSB- 500 ml/ha), T<sub>22</sub> (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha + PSB- 500 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha), T<sub>23</sub> (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha + PSB- 500 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha),  $T_{24}$ (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha + PSB- 1000 ml/ha), T<sub>25</sub> (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha + PSB- 1000 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha), T<sub>26</sub> (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha + PSB- 1000 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha),  $T_{27}$ (Azotobacter- 750 ml/ha), T<sub>28</sub> (Azotobacter- 750 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha), T<sub>29</sub> (Azotobacter- 750 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha), T<sub>30</sub> (Azotobacter- 750 ml/ha + PSB- 500 ml/ha), T<sub>31</sub> (Azotobacter- 750 ml/ha + PSB- 500 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha), T<sub>32</sub> (Azotobacter- 750 ml/ha + PSB- 500 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha),  $T_{33}$  (Azotobacter- 750 ml/ha + PSB- 1000 ml/ha),  $T_{34}$  (Azotobacter- 750 ml/ha + PSB- 1000 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha) and  $T_{35}$  (Azotobacter- 750 ml/ha + PSB- 1000 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha). Flower characters *viz.*, Size of flower, Length of flower stalk (cm), Number of ray florets, Number of disk florets, Vase life of cut flower, Oil percentage, Ratio of Disk and Ray florets and C.B. ratio were recorded from five plants which were randomly selected and labelled in each plot of treatment.

#### **Results and Discussion**

## Size of flower (cm)

The flowers quality characters showed significant responses to different treatments of biofertilizers and organic manure with plant growth retardant presented in Table No. 1. On the basis of pooled data, the maximum diameter of flower (8.72 cm) was noticed in  $T_{22}$  which contains Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha, PSB- 500 ml/ha and Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha followed by  $T_{23}$  (8.49 cm) and  $T_{31}$  (8.28 cm) while, minimum flower diameter (5.30 cm) was found under control (5.30 cm). The positive effect of Azotobacter, PSB and Vermicompost on flower diameter has been reported in marigold by Pushkar and Rathore (2011), Kaushik *et al.* (2013) and Naidu *et al.* (2014).

#### Length of flower stalk

Integrated use of Azotobacter, PSB and Vermicompost effect on flower stalk's length is concerned; the integrated nutrient management with PGR resulted in improved flower quality on pooled data basis. Treatment  $T_{25}$  (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha + PSB- 1000 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha) recorded highest length of flower stalk (8.68 cm) followed by  $T_{32}$  (8.56 cm) and  $T_{31}$  (8.47 cm) whereas, lowest length of flower stalk (6.16 cm) was recorded with control (6.16 cm) presented in Table No. 1.

#### Number of ray florets

The pooled data showed in Table No.1 that maximum number of ray florets (192.20) was recorded in  $T_{34}$  (Azotobacter- 750 ml/ha + PSB- 1000 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha) followed by  $T_{35}$  (190.00) and  $T_{22}$  (178.90) whereas, control produced minimum number of ray florets (98.30) per flower. Similar, reports were made by Das and Mishra (2005), Kaushik *et al.* (2013) and Kumar *et al.* (2017)

## Number of disk florets

Maximum number of disk florets per flower (68.20) was reported with  $T_{26}$  (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha +PSB- 1000 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha) followed by  $T_{21}$  (65.60) and  $T_{7}$ (64.90) while, number of minimum disk florets per flower was noticed under control (42.30) presented in Table No.1 on pooled data of both the years of experiment.

#### Vase life of cut flower

The maximum vase life of flowers was observed under  $T_{26}$  (8.80 days) followed by  $T_{23}$ (8.50 days) and  $T_{35}$  (8.05 days) on the basis of pooled data of both the years and showed in Table No.1. While minimum keeping quality was observed with  $T_{24}$  (5.90 days). This findings was in agreement with the findings of Joshi and Barad (2002), Yadav *et al.* (2018), Goutham Kishore *et al.* (2018) in Marigold, Nethra *et al.* (1999), Parmar (2007), Kumar *et al.* (2016), Sharma *et al.* (2017), Sathyanarayana *et al.* (2017) in China aster and Pandey *et al.* (2018) in chrysanthemum.

## **Oil percentage**

The data presented in Table No. 1 clearly indicate that the significantly highest oil content of flower (0.44%) was reported with  $T_{22}$  (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha + PSB- 500 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha) followed by  $T_{35}$ (0.43%),  $T_{34}$  (0.42) and  $T_{23}$  (0.42%) whereas, lowest oil content in flower (0.26%) was weighted under  $T_1$  (control). These findings confirmed those reported by Kazemi *et al.* (2014), Girwani *et al.* (1990).

### **Ratio of Disk and Ray florets**

The combined application of biofertilizers and organic manures significantly increased ratio of disk and ray florets (1:4.04) under treatment  $T_{26}$  (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha + PSB-1000 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 5.00 t/ha) followed by treatment  $T_{34}$  (1:3.92),  $T_{22}$  (1:3.89) and  $T_{25}$  (1:3.61). While minimum ratio of disk and ray florets (1:1.51) was observed under control, which is presented in Table No.1.

## C.B. ratio

Thus, the results have proved on pooled data basis the application of treatment combination  $T_{22}$  (Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha + PSB- 500 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha) is most effective (1:4.10) in enhancing C.B. ratio of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) followed by treatment  $T_{34}$  (1:3.74),  $T_{31}$  (1:3.71) and  $T_{35}$ (1:3.70) due to increase in number of flowers bearing branches, number of flower per plant, Average weight of flower and size of flower presented in Table 1. These results are also similar with Verma et al. (2011) in marigold, Meshram *et al.* (2008) in chrysanthemum and Deshmukh *et al.* (2008) in Gaillardia.

#### Conclusion

It was concluded from trial that the various levels of integrated nutrients used from different sources in the experiment, the treatment  $T_{22}$ [Azotobacter- 500 ml/ha + PSB- 500 ml/ha + Vermicompost- 2.50 t/ha] was found to be the best in increasing flower quality and economics of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. 'Pusa Narangi Gainda' under field condition.

## Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the Advisor & HOD Department of Horticulture and Principal, Kulbhaskar Ashram P.G. College, Prayagraj (U.P.) India for valuable suggestion, keen and enthusiastic interest, constructive criticism and unending zeal throughout the course of present investigation.

## References

- Das JN and Mishra HN (2005). Studied on graded dose of fertilizers and polythene mulch on growth. Flowering and yield of African gold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Siracole. *Orissajournal of Horticulutre*, 33 (2): 42-45.
- De LC and Bhattacharjee SK (2011). 'Ornamental Crop Breeding'.Pp. **438**, Published by Aaivishkar Publishers & Distributors, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
- Deshmukh PG, Khiratkar SD, Badge SA and Bhongle SA (2008). Effect of bio-inoculants with graded doses of NPK on growth and yield of gaillardia. *Journal of Soils and Crops*, **18(1)**: 212-216.
- Girwani A, Srihari RB and Chandrasekhar R (1990). Response of marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) to growth regulators and zinc. *Indian journal of Agricultural sciences*, **60(3)**: 220-222.
- Goutham Kishore BK, Punetha P and Bohra M (2018). Efficacy of Azotobacter and Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria on vegetative and floral attributes of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda under Hilly Regions of Uttarakhand. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, **10(4)**: 5212-5215.
- Joshi NS and Barad AV (2002). Effect of N, P and pinching on growth, yield and quality of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Crackerjack. *Gujarat J. Applied Hort.*, **1(1):** 57-65.
- Kaushik H, Singh JP, Brajmohan R and Nathiram (2013). Effect of inorganic fertilizer (nitrogen) and bio-fertilizer (*Azospirillum*) on growth and flowering in African marigold (*Tagets erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 9(1): 189-192.
- Kazemi SS, Hashemabadi D, Torkashvand AM and Kaviani B (2014). Effect of cycocel and daminozide on vegetative growth, flowering and the content of essence of pot marigold (*Calendula officinalis*). *Journal of Ornamental Plants*, 4(2): 107-114.
- Kumar A and Kumar A (2017). Effect of bio-fertilizers and nutrients on growth and flower yield of summer season African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *Plant Archives*, **17(2)**: 1090-1092.

- Kumar P, Kumar V and Kumar D (2016). Response of INM to Plant growth, Flower yield and Shelf life of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Basanti Gainda. *International Journal of Agricultural Invention*, 1(1): 108 - 112.
- Meshram N, Badge S, Bhongle SA and Khiratkar SD (2008). Effect of bio-inoculants with graded doses of NPK on flowering, yield attributes and economics of annual chrysanthemum. *J. Soils and Crops*, **18(1)**: 217-220.
- Naidu JH, Ashok P, Chandrasekhar R and Sasikala K (2014). Effect of plant growth retardants and spacing's on vegetative growth and flower yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda. *International Journal of Farm Sciences*, 4(2): 92-99.
- Naik HB, Patil AA, Patil VS, Basavaraj N and SM Heremath (2004). Effect of pinching and chemicals on xanthophylls yield in African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). J. Ornamental Horticulture, 7(3-4): 182-190.
- Nethra NN, Jayaprasad KV and Kale RD (1999). China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L. Nees.) cultivation using vermicompost as organic amendment. *Crop Res.*, **17(2)**: 209-215.
- Pandey G, Kumar R, Kumar S and Kumar A (2018). Effect of integrated nutrient management on floral parameters and soil nutrient status in chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum* morifolium Ramat.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 7(5): 1984-1990.

- Parmar NA (2007). Response of biofertilizers and nitrogenous fertilizer on growth, flower yield and quality of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L. Nees.) under South Gujarat condition. *M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, NAU, Navsari.
- Pushkar NC and SVS Rathore (2011). Effect of nutrients and bio-inoculants on growth, flowering behaviour and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) var. Pusa Narangi Gainda. *Progressive Horticulture*, **43(2)**: 225-227.
- Raghava SPS (2000). Marigold versatile crop with golden harvest. *Floriculture Today*, **4**(11): 40-41.
- Sathyanarayana E, Patil S, Chawla SL and Patel DK (2017). Influence of integrated nutrient management on gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) cv. American Beauty. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(8): 379-386.
- Sharma A, Sharma K, Gaur D, Dhakad H, Banafer RNS and Lekhi R (2017). Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, flower yield and vase life of marigold cv. Pusa Narangi. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 6(6): 319-323.
- Verma SK, Angadi SG, Patil VS, Mokashi AN, Mathad JC and Mummigatti UV (2011). Growth, yield and quality of chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat.) cv. Raja, as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 24 (5): 681-683.
- Yadav KS, Pal AK, Singh AK, Yadav D and Maurya SK (2018). Effect of different bio-fertilizers on growth and flowering of marigold. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **7(1)**: 1548-1550.

C.B. ratio	Pooled	1:1.96	1:2.17	1:2.08	1:2.85	1:2.95	1:2.56	1:2.54	1:2.75	1:2.50	1:2.55	1:2.80	1:2.23	1:3.02	1:3.25	1:3.20	1:3.19	1:3.38	1:3.58	1:3.16	1:3.01	1:3.24	1:3.66	1:4.10	1:3.58	1:3.63	1:3.32	1:3.15	1:3.04	1:2.86	1:3.29	1:3.59	1:3.71	1:3.29	1:3.65	1:3.74	1:3.70	0.14	0.27
	2017 -18	2.08	2.34	2.15	3.07	3.01	2.60	2.64	2.80	2.56	2.57	2.85	2.29	3.16	3.32	3.27	3.44	3.48	3.63	3.26	3.11	3.35	3.77	4.21	3.67	3.64	3.40	3.23	3.11	2.92	3.44	3.69	3.74	3.36	3.70	3.86	3.77	0.20	0.40
	2016 -17	1.85	2.01	2.01	2.64	2.89	2.53	2.53	2.76	2.17	2.89	3.18	3.14	2.94	3.29	3.54	3.06	2.91	3.14	3.06	2.91	3.14	3.55	4.00	3.50	3.62	3.24	3.07	2.97	2.80	3.14	3.49	3.69	3.23	3.60	3.62	3.63	0.19	0.38
Ratio of Disk and Ray florets	Pooled	1.51	1.92	2.13	1.74	2.04	2.49	2.51	2.47	2.71	2.08	2.67	2.06	3.32	2.77	2.71	2.37	3.07	2.94	2.41	2.83	2.49	3.02	3.89	3.28	2.58	3.61	4.04	2.55	3.26	2.93	3.02	3.49	3.16	2.86	3.92	3.18	0.14	0.28
	2017 -18	1.52	2.23	2.27	1.85	2.03	2.62	2.37	2.65	2.65	2.50	3.23	2.15	2.90	2.97	2.84	2.01	3.20	3.08	2.47	2.99	2.31	3.22	3.50	3.52	2.58	3.39	4.21	2.59	3.29	2.98	2.91	3.72	3.35	2.89	3.93	3.34	0.22	0.42
	2016 -17	1.50	1.62	2.00	1.64	2.06	2.37	2.66	2.30	2.78	1.66	2.11	1.97	3.75	2.57	2.59	2.73	2.95	2.81	2.36	2.67	2.67	2.83	4.29	3.04	2.58	3.83	3.87	2.52	3.24	2.89	3.13	3.26	2.98	2.84	3.92	3.03	0.19	0.38
percentage	Pooled	0.26	0.33	0.27	0.31	0.34	0.36	0.30	0.35	0.32	0.27	0.32	0.32	0.28	0.38	0.30	0.30	0.31	0.41	0.32	0.36	0.36	0.30	0.44	0.42	0.41	0.34	0.32	0.28	0.33	0.35	0.39	0.42	0.39	0.36	0.42	0.43	0.03	0.05
	2017 -18	0.29	0.37	0.28	0.32	0.38	0.40	0.32	0.39	0.33	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.30	0.42	0.37	0.32	0.37	0.43	0.39	0.32	0.33	0.43	0.45	0.46	0.37	0.37	0.35	0.32	0.29	0.37	0.42	0.47	0.37	0.40	0.45	0.44	0.03	0.06
0il	2016 -17	0.24	0.29	0.26	0.30	0.31	0.33	0.29	0.31	0.32	0.26	0.31	0.26	0.27	0.34	0.24	0.29	0.25	0.40	0.37	0.41	0.40	0.41	0.43	0.39	0.31	0.31	0.29	0.24	0.37	0.34	0.37	0.38	0.42	0.32	0.39	0.42	0.04	0.08
Vase life of cut flower	Pooled	6.25	6.90	6.65	6.40	7.60	7.05	7.10	6.90	7.90	6.60	7.35	6.95	6.65	7.25	7.15	6.90	7.85	7.95	7.55	7.00	7.05	6.30	7.85	8.50	5.90	7.25	8.80	7.15	6.65	7.40	6.35	7.45	6.85	6.45	7.55	8.05	0.22	0.44
	2017 -18	6.70	7.20	6.80	6.60	7.80	7.30	7.80	7.60	8.20	6.60	7.50	7.10	7.00	7.30	7.90	7.60	8.00	8.40	8.50	7.50	7.90	6.60	8.20	8.80	6.20	7.60	8.90	7.50	6.80	7.50	6.50	7.70	7.30	6.50	8.50	8.30	0.32	0.64
	2016 -17	5.80	6.60	6.50	6.20	7.40	6.80	6.40	6.20	7.60	6.60	7.20	6.80	6.30	7.20	6.40	6.20	7.70	7.50	6.60	6.50	6.20	6.00	7.50	8.20	5.60	06'9	8.70	6.80	6.50	7.30	6.20	7.20	6.40	6.40	6.60	7.80	0.31	0.62
r of disk florets 2017 Pooled	Pooled	42.30	61.10	51.30	55.60	60.60	63.20	52.40	64.90	63.40	54.50	44.90	55.20	60.60	61.50	57.80	53.00	45.90	58.70	50.40	51.60	53.10	65.60	52.80	64.85	53.70	55.80	68.20	52.90	50.60	50.20	56.20	50.45	52.60	52.50	52.40	53.90	1.73	3.41
	2017 -18	47.40	63.20	59.40	63.00	64.20	67.40	57.80	66.80	68.40	54.20	44.60	63.40	57.80	67.80	56.00	52.40	52.20	69.00	51.00	54.20	57.80	73.40	53.80	67.20	58.40	54.20	72.60	54.20	57.60	59.40	54.60	61.40	57.20	53.00	57.60	61.00	2.68	5.31
Numbe	2016 -17	37.20	59.00	43.20	53.40	57.00	59.00	47.00	63.00	58.40	54.80	45.20	47.00	57.80	55.20	59.60	53.60	39.60	48.40	49.80	49.00	48.40	57.80	51.80	62.50	49.00	57.40	63.80	51.60	43.60	41.00	57.80	46.80	47.80	52.00	47.20	46.80	2.18	4.32
f ray florets 2017 Pooled	Pooled	98.30	118.10	135.70	119.80	132.80	159.20	133.20	151.70	139.10	140.10	141.60	127.90	173.30	160.90	139.90	137.60	154.20	149.90	147.00	160.50	142.60	168.20	178.90	163.20	149.60	178.80	173.40	149.90	165.90	168.70	173.30	175.70	149.50	156.80	192.20	1 90.00	3.46	6.82
	2017 ] -18	02.80	43.80	53.20	34.80	35.80	79.80	37.20	67.60	57.80	83.80	73.80	37.80	62.80	73.80	54.00	39.00	63.40	67.40	57.20	73.20	57.20	84.60	83.80	83.80	57.40	84.20	87.53	54.00	80.00	83.00	83.80	97.20	58.80	67.00	13.40	92.60	5.36	0.62
Number 0	016 -17	3.80 1	02.40 1	18.20 1	04.80 1	29.80 1	38.60 1	29.20 1	35.80 1	20.40 1	6.40 1	09.40 1	18.00 1	83.80 1	48.00 1	25.80 1	36.20 1	45.00 1	32.40 1	36.80 1	47.80 1	28.00 1	51.80 1	74.00 1	42.60 1	41.80 1	73.40 1	59.00 1	45.80 1	51.80 1	54.40 1	62.80 1	54.20 1	40.20 1	46.60 1	71.00 2	87.40 1	4.39	8.68
of flower N k (cm)	oled 2	.16 9	.38 5	.23 1	.83 1	.88 1	.94 1	.94 1	.81 1	.94 1	.26 5	.61 1	.95 1	.37 1	.06 1	.71 1	.85 1	.99 I.	26 1	.42 1	.69 1	.93 1	.16 1	.40 1	.32 1	-1 66 <sup>-</sup>	.68 1	.11 1	.97 1.	.99 1	.04 1	.88	.47 1	.56 1	22 1	56 1	.31 1	28	22
	017 Po 18	.28 6	.62 7	.62 7	.92 6	7 06.	.05 7	.52 6	.94 7	.52 7	.38 6	.95 7	.05 7	.52 7	.58 7	.85 6	.86 6	.26 7	.48 8	.64 7	.72 7	.62 7	.68 8	.46 8	.38 8	.15 6	8 08.	.46 8	.26 7	.26 6	.20 8	.92 7	.48 8	.86 8	.87 8	.85 7	.94 8	.39 0	
Length	016 2 -17 -	05 6	.15 7	.85 7	.75 6	.86 7	.83 8	.37 6	7 69.7	.36 7	.14 6	.28 6	.86 8	.22 7	.55 7	.58 6	.85 6	.72 8	.04 8	.20 7	.67 8	.25 8	.68 7	:35 8	26 8	.84 7	:57 8	.76 8	.68 8	.72 7	.88 8	.84 7	.46 8	.26 8	.58 7	.28 7	.68 8	.41 0	0 08.
ize of flower (cm)	oled 2	0 6	2 7	3 6	7 6	3 7	6 7	4 7	8 7	8 8	4 6	1 8	1 7	6 7	4 6	8 6	1 6	8 7	6 8	8 7	8	6 7	5 8	2 8	8 6	5 6	3 8	3 7	2 7	6 6	8	5 7	8	5 8	6 8	1 7	1 7	2 0	3 0
	17 Po( 8	15 5.3	6 6.2	8 7.2	16 5.3	9 6.7	7.3	14 6.7	8 6.4	18 7.3	1.3	6 7.2	32 7.4	30 6.6	36 7.8	18 5.8	6 6.7	16 7.3	6'9 8i	14 8.0	8 6.1	14 6.3	32 7.2	32 8.7	70 8.4	1.7	78 8.1	8 6.7	06 7.2	14 6.6	8 7.4	38 7.2	10 8.2	6 7.1	34 8.0	30 7.1	6 8.1	12 0.2	64 0.4
	16 201 7 -18	4 5.3	8 6.2	8 7.2	8 5.4	8 6.6	8 6.7	4 7.3	8 6.6	8 7.4	6 6.5	6 7.2	0 7.6	8 6.8	2 7.8	8 6.4	6 6.8	0 7.4	4 6.4	4 7.8	8 6.2	4 5.9	8 6.8	2 8.8	8 8.7	:2 7.7	8 8.7	8 6.2	8 6.9	8 7.0	8 7.6	2 7.2	6 8.3	4 7.9	8 7.8	2 7.8	16 8.1	9 0.3	8 0.6
ŝ	201 -15	5.2	6.1	7.1	5.2	, 6.2	, 7.2	, 6.1	, 6.2	, 7.2	, 8.1	0 7.1	1 7.2	2 6.5	3 7.8	4 5.2	s 6.5	e 7.3	7 7.4	8 7.7	, 6.0	0 6.8	1 7.6	2 8.6	3 8.2	4 8.3	s 7.4	6 7.1	7 7.4	s 6.2	, 7.2	• 7.2	1 8.2	2 6.3	3 8.2	4 6.4	s 8.0	(d) 0.2	). 0.5 %
T		T,	$\mathbf{T}_{1}$	$T_2$	$T_3$	$T_4$	T,	$T_6$	Τ,	$T_8$	$T_9$	T10	$T_{11}$	$T_{11}$	$\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{L}}$	Ľ	$\mathbf{T}_{ii}$	T	F	T.	F	$T_{2l}$	$T_{22}$	$T_{22}$	$T_{2}$	$T_{2}$	$T_{2i}$	$T_{2i}$	$T_{2'}$	$T_{21}$	$T_{2}$	$T_{3i}$	$T_{3}$	$T_{3:}$	$T_{3}$	$T_{3}$	$\mathbf{T}_{3!}$	SE(	at 5

Table 1: Effect of biofertilizers and organic manures on flower quality and economics of African marigold